



RESEARCH BRIEF

Does the U.S. Export Global Warming? Coal Trade and the Shale Gas Boom

Christopher R. Knittel, Konstantinos Metaxoglou, Anson Soderbery, and André Trindade

This paper examines how a change in a country's consumption of fossil fuels impacts the global environment via trade flows. Specifically, we explore the impacts of the U.S. shale gas boom on global coal trade flows, emissions of CO₂ and SO₂, and welfare. We estimate a structural model that links the domestic to the international coal market and use it to simulate counterfactual scenarios. Our results show that the total quantity of coal traded around the world in the absence of the gas boom is essentially the same as the actual.

The U.S. shale gas boom dramatically boosted coal exports from the U.S. In the first quarter of 2009, the U.S. exported 4.2 million metric tons of steam coal for electricity generation while in the second quarter of 2012 it exported almost four times as much. In this paper, we explore the implications of the shale gas boom for coal trade flows and, consequently, its implications for the global environment and consumer welfare.

The implications of a rise in U.S. coal exports on coal trade are ambiguous. They depend both on the aggregate level and on the composition of world trade flows. On the one hand, additional supply from the U.S. could lead to greater coal consumption and emissions in importing countries. On the other, an increase in U.S. coal exports can lead to a moderate or no

increase in emissions elsewhere if U.S. coal simply displaces domestic coal, or, if it displaces coal exported from other countries.

Environmental effects from increased U.S. exports also depend on differences in coal quality between countries. For example, U.S. exports may have a positive impact on air quality if they displace coal with a higher sulfur content.

Exploring the impact of the gas boom on the global coal trade ultimately depends on export supply and import demand elasticities, whose magnitude is determined by several factors. For example, the U.S. export supply elasticity is affected by the ability of domestic coal producers to ship coal outside the country. At the same time, the import demand elasticities for U.S. coal in major consuming regions

such as Western Europe, China, Japan, and Korea, depend on the availability of, or lack of, close substitutes.

We build an econometric model with an international and a domestic component. The international component allows us to estimate import demand and export supply elasticities. To do this, we use a nonlinear Seemingly Unrelated Regressions estimator and UN COMTRADE data from 1990 to 2014.

The domestic component provides a link between the international market for coal and the U.S. price for gas through the U.S. export supply curve. Having established this link, we calculate counterfactual world coal trade flows eliminating the drop in the U.S. price of gas caused by the gas boom. Our counterfactual gas price is based on a regression between U.S. and European gas prices between 1990 and 2006. Using information on the heat, carbon dioxide (CO₂), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) content of coal, we translate trade flows into emissions to estimate the global environmental impact of the gas boom.

A distinguishing feature of our approach is that our trade model allows for upward-sloping export supply curves. We also allow export supply elasticities to exhibit heterogeneity across importers, goods, and exporters. We show that this heterogeneity has material implications for the conclusions of our analysis.

We find that, in contrast to commentary in the popular press, U.S. coal exports simply displaced other coal exports without increasing the total quantity of coal traded. Specifically, our model estimates that in the absence of the gas boom, the quantity of coal traded is only 0.16% higher than the actual quantity traded. The price and dollar value of coal also increase by less than 0.72%.

Our results also suggest that the gas boom had a negligible impact on total CO₂ and SO₂ emissions. After accounting for heterogeneity in the heat and sulfur content of coal across countries, we find the emissions of these compounds associated with coal trade flows are virtually the same in the actual and counterfactual cases.

We also report welfare effects for 40 countries that account for more than 90% of global coal trade. As one welfare measure, we use Compensating Valuation (CV), which measures the amount of money consumers would have to receive if they were to be compensated exactly for a price change. Across all importers in our model, the Compensating Valuation (CV) welfare measure is \$38.6 billion, which is measure of how much lower welfare would have been in the absence of the boom. The greatest changes were found for Brazil (\$7.7 billion) and Germany (\$5.6 billion).

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.

References

Knittel C.R., K. Metaxoglou, A. Soderbery, and A. Trindade, 2018, “Does the U.S. Export Global Warming? Coal Trade and the Shale Gas Boom.” MIT CEEPR Working Paper 2018-013.

About the Authors



Christopher R. Knittel is the George P. Shultz Professor of Applied Economics at the Sloan School of Management, Director of the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research, and Co-Director of the MITEI Low-Carbon Energy Center for Electric Power Systems Research at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He joined the faculty at MIT in 2011, having taught previously at UC Davis and Boston University. Professor Knittel received his B.A. in economics and political science from the California State University, Stanislaus in 1994 (summa cum laude), an M.A. in economics from UC Davis in 1996, and a Ph.D. in economics from UC Berkeley in 1999. His research focuses on environmental economics, industrial organization, and applied econometrics.

Kostas Metaxoglou, Ph.D. (Economics, UC Davis) is an Associate Professor in the Economics Department at Carleton University. He joined the faculty at Carleton as an Assistant Professor in 2013 having previously worked as a senior consultant and manager with the Antitrust practice of Bates White LLC in Washington DC. His research focuses on Econometrics, Applied Industrial Organization, Energy Economics, Environmental Economics, and Empirical Finance.



Anson Soderbery is an Associate Professor of Economics in the Krannert School of Management at Purdue. His fields of specialization are international trade, industrial organization, and applied econometrics. He is interested in modeling firm behavior in the global market. He received his M.A. and Ph.D. in Economics from the University of California, Davis.



André Trindade is an Assistant Professor at the Graduate School of Economics of the Getulio Vargas Foundation (EPGE-FGV). He received his Ph.D. in Economics from Northwestern University in 2011 and his B.A. in Economics at Nova School of Business and Economics in Lisbon. His research is focused in the areas of Empirical Industrial Organization and Applied Microeconomics. Recently, he has worked in estimating structural demand models to study competition in the supermarket sector.

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.